

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE
EASTERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

Innovative Sports Management, Inc. d/b/a Integrated
Sports Media,

Plaintiff,

COMPLAINT

Civil Action No.

-against-

JUAN ACEVEDO, Individually, and as officer, director,
shareholder and/or principal of JADS DELI INC.,
d/b/a JUANITO DELI BAKERY CAFÉ a/k/a
JUANITO'S BAKERY & CAFÉ,

and

JADS DELI INC., d/b/a JUANITO DELI BAKERY
CAFÉ a/k/a JUANITO'S BAKERY & CAFÉ,

Defendants.

Plaintiff, Innovative Sports Management, Inc. d/b/a Integrated Sports Media
(hereinafter "Plaintiff"), by its attorneys, LONSTEIN LAW OFFICE, P.C., complaining
of the Defendants herein respectfully sets forth and alleges, as follows:

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

1. This is a civil action seeking damages for violation of 47 U.S.C. §§ 553 or 605,
et seq. and for copyright infringement under the copyright laws of the U.S. (17 U.S.C.
§101, et seq.).

2. This Court has jurisdiction under 17 U.S.C. §101, et seq. and 28 U.S.C.

Section §1331, which states that the district courts shall have original jurisdiction of all civil actions arising under the Constitution, laws, or treaties of the United States; and 28 U.S.C. Section §1338(a) (copyright).

3. Upon information and belief, venue is proper in this court because, inter alia, a substantial part of the events or omissions giving rise to the claim occurred within Nassau County, which is within the Eastern District of New York (28 U.S.C. § 1391(b) and 28 U.S.C. §112(c)).

4. This Court has personal jurisdiction over the parties in this action. Defendants to this action had or have an agent or agents who has or had independently transacted business in the State of New York and certain activities of Defendants giving rise to this action took place in the State of New York; more particularly, Defendants' acts of violating federal laws and the proprietary rights of Plaintiff, as distributor of the satellite programming transmission signals took place within the State of New York. Moreover, upon information and belief, Defendants have their principal place of business within the State of New York; thus, this Court has personal jurisdiction over Defendants.

THE PARTIES

5. The plaintiff is a New Jersey Limited Liability Company with its principal place of business located at 64 N. Summit St, Suite 218, Tenafly, New Jersey 07670.

6. Plaintiff is the owner of the Clasico del Pacifico: Peru vs. Chili Event scheduled for October 12, 2018, via closed circuit television and via encrypted satellite signal (hereinafter referred to as the "Broadcast").

7. Upon information and belief the Defendant, JUAN ACEVEDO, resides at 25 W Merrick Road, Apt 1, Valley Stream, NY 11580.

8. Upon information and belief the Defendant, JUAN ACEVEDO, is an officer, director, shareholder and/or principal of JADS DELI INC., d/b/a JUANITO DELI BAKERY CAFÉ a/k/a JUANITO'S BAKERY & CAFÉ located at 113 Rockaway Avenue, Valley Stream, NY 11580.

9. Upon information and belief the Defendant, JUAN ACEVEDO, was the individual with supervisory capacity and control over the activities occurring within the establishment known as JUANITO DELI BAKERY CAFÉ a/k/a JUANITO'S BAKERY & CAFÉ, located at 113 Rockaway Avenue, Valley Stream, NY 11580 on October 12, 2018.

10. Upon information and belief the Defendant, JUAN ACEVEDO, received a financial benefit from the operations of JUANITO DELI BAKERY CAFÉ a/k/a JUANITO'S BAKERY & CAFÉ, on October 12, 2018.

11. Upon information and belief the Defendant, JUAN ACEVEDO, was the individual with close control over the internal operating procedures and employment practices of JUANITO DELI BAKERY CAFÉ a/k/a JUANITO'S BAKERY & CAFÉ, on October 12, 2018.

12. Upon information and belief the Defendant, JADS DELI INC. is a domestic corporation licensed to do business in the State of New York.

13. Upon information and belief, the Defendant, JADS DELI INC., is located at

113 Rockaway Avenue, Valley Stream, NY 11580, and had a capacity for 1-50 people on October 12, 2018.

14. Upon information and belief, the Defendant, JADS DELI INC., is a business entity, having its principal place of business at 113 Rockaway Avenue, Valley Stream, NY 11580.

15. Upon information and belief, Defendant, *jointly and severally*, received a commercial benefit by not paying the commercial licensing fee to the Plaintiff for the Broadcast and obtaining same through alternative means.

COUNT I

16. Plaintiff hereby incorporates by reference all of the allegations contained in paragraphs “1” through “15,” inclusive, as though set forth herein at length.

17. Plaintiff is the owner of the Clasico del Pacifico: Peru vs. Chili Event scheduled for October 12, 2018, via closed circuit television and via encrypted satellite signal (hereinafter referred to as the “Broadcast”).

18. Plaintiff’s Broadcast originated via satellite uplink and was subsequently re-transmitted to cable systems and satellite companies via satellite signal.

19. Plaintiff, for a licensing fee, entered into licensing agreements with various entities in the State of New York, allowing them to publicly exhibit the Broadcast to their patrons. Upon payment of the appropriate fees, Plaintiff authorizes and enables subscribers to unscramble and receive the satellite Broadcast.

20. The Broadcast was also available for non-commercial, private viewing

through Plaintiff or its authorized online platforms for residential Pay-Per-View purchase and consumption via the internet. Owners of commercial establishments wishing to avoid paying Plaintiff's licensing fees can surreptitiously gain access to Plaintiff's Broadcasts by purchasing the programming online, without proper authorization, at residential rates, which are greatly discounted compared to the rates required for commercial entities and exhibit those broadcasts for their own commercial benefit and gain.

21. In order for anyone to obtain the Broadcast through a website intended for private, non-commercial viewing, an individual purchaser would be provided with terms of service which specifically provide for **non commercial, personal use only**.

22. Upon information and belief, with full knowledge that the Broadcast was not to be received and exhibited by entities unauthorized to do so, the Defendants and/or their agents, servants, workmen or employees, without paying Plaintiff a fee or entering into an agreement with Plaintiff or its authorized agent for commercial exhibition, unlawfully intercepted, received and/or de-scrambled Plaintiff's satellite signal and did exhibit the Broadcast at JUANITO DELI BAKERY CAFÉ a/k/a JUANITO'S BAKERY & CAFÉ located at 113 Rockaway Avenue, Valley Stream, NY 11580 at the time of its transmission willfully and for purposes of direct or indirect commercial advantage or private financial gain.

23. Upon information and belief, Plaintiff alleges that Defendants effected unauthorized interception and receipt of Plaintiff's Broadcast by ordering programming

for residential use and subsequently displaying the programming in the commercial establishment known as JUANITO DELI BAKERY CAFÉ a/k/a JUANITO'S BAKERY & CAFÉ for commercial gain and without authorization, or by such other means which are unknown to Plaintiffs and known only to Defendants.

24. Upon information and belief, Defendant and/or her agents, servants, workmen and/or employees intercepted Plaintiff's signal and/or used a device to intercept Plaintiff's Broadcast, which originated via satellite uplink and then re-transmitted via satellite or microwave signal to various cable and satellite systems. There are multiple illegal and unauthorized methods of accessing the Broadcast, including but not limited to the traditional ways of pirating a broadcast (1) splicing an additional coaxial cable line or redirecting a wireless signal from an adjacent residence into a business establishment, decrypt, unscramble and receive the closed circuit, "IPTV", cable or satellite Broadcast; (2) commercially misusing cable or satellite by registering same as a residence when it is, in fact, a business; or (3) taking a lawfully obtained box or satellite receiver from a private residence, into a business. Recently emerging over-the-top "OTT" technologies, used for the delivery of film and TV content via the internet, such as (1) Broadband or internet broadcast; and/or (2) Live Social Media Streaming ("Nano-Piracy") are additional methods in which pirated material can be obtained without requiring users to subscribe to a traditional cable or satellite pay-tv service such as Comcast, DIRECTV or Time Warner Cable and are readily available to anyone with a Smartphone. The misuse of OTT technology can allow commercial misuse of residential broadcasting feeds through the

internet from anywhere in the world. Each of the above described methods would allow Defendant to access the Broadcast unlawfully and without Plaintiffs authorization. Prior to engaging in discovery, Plaintiff is unable to determine the manner in which Defendant obtained the Broadcast. However, it is logical to conclude that Defendant utilized one of the above described methods or another to intercept and exhibit the Broadcast without entering into an agreement to obtain it lawfully from Plaintiff, the legal rights holder for commercial exhibition.

25. 47 U.S.C. §605 (a) prohibits the unauthorized reception and publication or use of communications such as the transmission for which plaintiff had the distribution rights thereto.

26. By reason of the aforementioned conduct, the aforementioned Defendant willfully violated 47 U.S.C. §605 (a).

27. By reason of the aforementioned Defendants' violation of 47 U.S.C. §605 (a), Plaintiff has a private right of action pursuant to 47 U.S.C. §605.

28. As a result of the aforementioned Defendants' willful violation of 47 U.S.C. §605 (a), Plaintiff is entitled to damages, in the discretion of this Court, under 47 U.S.C. §605 (e)(3)(C)(i)(II) allows for a recovery between \$1,000 and \$10,000 in the courts discretion and §605(e)(3)(C)(ii) for enhanced damages upon a showing of willfulness in an amount up to the maximum amount of \$110,000.00 as to each Defendants.

29. Pursuant to 47 U.S.C. §605, Plaintiff is also entitled to an award of full costs, interest and reasonable attorney's fees.

COUNT II

30. Plaintiff hereby incorporates paragraphs “1” through “15” and “17” through 24,” inclusive, as though fully set forth herein.

31. Upon information and belief, with full knowledge that the Broadcast was not to be received and exhibited by entities unauthorized to do so, the Defendant and/or their agents, servants, workmen or employees did exhibit the Broadcast at the above-captioned address at the time of its transmission willfully and for purposes of direct or indirect commercial advantage or private financial gain.

32. 47 U.S.C. §553 prohibits the unauthorized reception, interception and exhibition of any communications service offered over a cable system such as the transmission for which Plaintiff had the distribution rights as to commercial establishments thereto.

33. Upon information and belief, the Defendant individually, willfully and illegally intercepted said Broadcast when it was distributed and shown by cable television systems.

34. By reason of the aforementioned conduct, all of the aforementioned Defendant willfully violated 47 U.S.C. §553, thereby giving rise to a private right of action.

35. As a result of the aforementioned Defendants’ violation of 47 U.S.C. §553, Plaintiff is entitled to damages, in an amount in the discretion of this Court, of up to the maximum amount of \$60,000.00, plus the recovery of full costs, interest and reasonable

attorney's fees.

COUNT III

36. Plaintiff hereby incorporates paragraphs "1" through "15" and "17" through "24," and "31," inclusive, as though fully set forth herein.

37. Plaintiff is the owner of the copyright to the Clasico del Pacifico: Peru vs. Chili Event scheduled for October 12, 2018, via closed circuit television and via encrypted satellite signal. The Certificate of Registration was filed with the U.S. Copyright Office on January 14, 2019 under Registration Number PA0002139144. The Broadcast originated via satellite uplink and was subsequently re-transmitted to cable systems and satellite companies via satellite signal.

38. As a copyright holder of the rights to the Clasico del Pacifico: Peru vs. Chili Event, Plaintiff has rights to the Broadcast, including the right of distribution as well as the licensing to commercial establishments for the right to exhibit same.

39. Defendants never obtained the proper authority or license from Plaintiff, or its authorized agent for commercial distribution, to publicly exhibit the Clasico del Pacifico: Peru vs. Chili Event Broadcast on October 12, 2018.

40. Upon information and belief, with full knowledge that the Clasico del Pacifico: Peru vs. Chili Event Broadcast can only be exhibited within a commercial establishment by the purchasing of a license from Plaintiff, or its authorized agent for commercial distribution, Defendants and/or their agents, servants, workmen or employees illegally intercepted the Broadcast and exhibited same in her commercial establishment

on October 12, 2018.

41. Specifically, upon information and belief, the Defendants and/or their agents, servants, workmen and employees unlawfully obtained the Clasico del Pacifico: Peru vs. Chili Event Broadcast, enabling Defendants to publicly exhibit the Broadcast without paying the appropriate licensing fee to Plaintiff, or its authorized agent for commercial distribution.

42. By reason of the aforementioned conduct, the Defendants willfully violated 17 U.S.C. §501(a).

43. By reason of the aforementioned Defendants' violation of 17 U.S.C. §501(a), Plaintiff has a private right of action pursuant to 17 U.S.C. §501 (b).

44. As a result of Defendants' willful infringement of Plaintiff's copyrights and exclusive rights under copyright, Plaintiff is entitled to damages, in the discretion of this Court, under 17 U.S.C. §504(c)(1) and 504(c)(2), of up to the maximum amount of \$150,000.00.

45. Plaintiff is further entitled to its attorney's fees and costs pursuant to 17 U.S.C. §505.

WHEREFORE, the Plaintiff requests that judgment be entered in its favor and against the Defendant, *jointly and severally*, granting to Plaintiff the following:

(a) Declare that Defendant's unauthorized exhibition of the October 12, 2018, Clasico del Pacifico: Peru v. Chile Event Broadcast, violated the Federal Communications Act and that such violations were committed willfully and for

purposes of Defendants' direct or indirect commercial advantage or for private financial gain.

(b) On the first cause of action, statutory penalties in an amount, in the discretion of this Court, against Defendants, *jointly and severally*, for a recovery between \$1,000 and \$10,000 as to each Defendant pursuant to 47 U.S.C. §605 and enhanced damages of up to \$100,000.00 for each defendant, *jointly and severally*, for their willful violation of 47 U.S.C. §605; and

(c) Attorney's fees, interest, costs of suit as to each Defendant pursuant to 47 U. S. C. § 605 (e)(3) (B) (iii).

(d) On the second cause of action, statutory penalties in an amount, in the discretion of this Court, against Defendants, *jointly and severally*, of up to the maximum amount of \$10,000.00 as to each Defendant for their violation of 47 U.S.C. §553 and enhanced damages of up to \$50,000.00 for their willful violation of 47 U.S.C. §553; and

(e) Attorney's fees, interest, costs of suit as to each Defendant pursuant to 47 U. S. C. §553 (c)(2)(C).

(f) On the third cause of action, statutory penalties in an amount, in the discretion of this Court, against Defendants, *jointly and severally*, of up \$30,000.00 pursuant to §504(c)(1) and enhanced damages in the amount of \$150,000.00 pursuant to §504(c)(2) for their willful violation of 17 U.S.C. §501(a); and

(g) Attorney's fees, interest, costs of suit as to the Defendants pursuant to 17 U.S.C. §505, together with such other and further relief as this Court may deem

just and proper.

Dated: March 25, 2019
Ellenville, New York

Innovative Sports Management, Inc. d/b/a Integrated
Sports Media

By: /s/Julie Cohen Lonstein
JULIE COHEN LONSTEIN, ESQ. (JL8521)
Attorney for Plaintiff
LONSTEIN LAW OFFICE, P.C.
190 South Main Street: P.O. Box 351
Ellenville, NY 12428
Tel: (845) 647-8500
Fax: (845) 647-6277
Email: Legal@signallaw.com
Our File No. ISM18-01NY-08